

FY23 Topic Areas Research and Technology Development (TRTD)

Snow Water Equivalent Retrieval Over Idaho Using Sentinel-1 Repeat-Pass Interferometry

Principal Investigator: Shadi Oveisgharan (334)**; Co-Investigators:** Robert Zinke (334), Emre Havazli (334), Zachary Hoppinen (Boise State University)

Strategic Focus Area: Water and carbon cycles

Objectives: The objective of this work was to show for the first time the quantitative evidence to justify using repeat-pass interferometry as a viable

new method for SWE retrieval. W were the first to propose evaluating the performance of InSAR SWE retrieval using a time series of "spaceborne"

Seninel-1. We will recommend the optimum revisit time for SWE retrieval using differential interferometry for future NASA Snow mission.

Background: 2017 Decadal Survey calls for Snow Water Equivalent (SWE) measurement using active sensor (radar) as part of the DS Earth Explorer mission category. Different methods are used for SWE retrieval:

1) Multi-frequency passive (low resolution), 2) Lidar (does not work in cloud, limited foot print), 3) SoOp (extremely limited coverage, but penetration through vegetation), 4) Multi-freq (X- and Ku-) radar backscattered power (a priori information is needed, saturates at deep snow), 5) **Zero baseline repeat-pass interferometry** (loss of temporal coherence):

$$\Delta \phi = -2\kappa_0 (\cos \theta - \sqrt{\epsilon - \sin^2 \theta}) \Delta d$$

Approach and Results:

Using 6 days Revisit Sentinel-1 for DSWE Estimation

- 6-day repeat Sentinel-1 time series data is used between 12/1/20 to 3/30/21 over Idaho
 - Tropospheric noise from the unwrapped phase is removed by using the Miami InSAR Time-series software in Python (MintPy)
 - The unwrapped phase is converted to ΔSWE
 - The average of all in situ stations is used as the reference point
- The retrieved ΔSWE matches qualitatively with the average of in-situ ΔSWE
 - The left top is close to zero
 - The two right top ones show snowstorm
- The correlation drops when there is snowstorm (the two right bottom ones)





Comparing Retrieved Sentinel-1 and In Situ total SWE



Comparing Retrieved Sentinel-1 and In Situ DSWE



- There is 0.82 correlation between Sentinel-1 and In Situ ∆SWE. The RMSE error is 0.76cm. The highlight of this study.
- We use Δ SWE for the time series to come up with SWE(t_k) = Σ Δ SWE(t_i) (t_i < t_k)
- In almost all the stations there is very good correlation between in situ and Sentinel-1 SWE
- For some stations (16 out of 31 stations), the total SWE error is less than 2 cm. The highlight of this study.

• There is very good resemblance and correlation between LIDAR snow depth and Sentinel SWE. **The highlight of this study.**

Significance/Benefits to JPL and NASA:

- The successful SWE retrieval results shown in this study would become the basis for a new and more accurate SWE retrieval approach for the snow community. It will help in generating SWE product using NISAR data with a reliable estimate of the uncertainty.
- In the next 10 years, we have the opportunity to launch an InSAR mission for SWE retrieval with sufficiently frequent revisits by adding of a smallsat to the future SDC/NISAR/ROSE-L missions.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

www.nasa.gov

Clearance Number: CL#00-0000 Poster Number: RPC# Copyright 2023. All rights reserved.

Publications:

[A] Shadi Oveisgharan, Robert Zinke, Zachary Hoppinen, Hans Peter Marshall, "Snow Water Equivalent Retrieval Over Idaho, Part A: Using Sentinel-1 Repeat-Pass Interferometry," submitted to The Cryosphere, (July 2023)
[B] Shadi Oveisgharan, Robert Zinke, Zachary Hoppinen, Hans Peter Marshall, "ESTIMATING SNOW WATER EQUIVALENT USING SENTINEL-1 REPEAT-PASS INTERFEROMETRY," Presented to IGARSS 2023, Pasadena, CA, 2023.

PI/Task Mgr. Contact Information:

Email: <u>Shadi.Oveisgharan@jpl.nasa.gov</u>

Phone: 650-353-1661